МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЙ ОПЫТ УПРАВЛЕНИЯ УЧРЕЖДЕНИЯМИ ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ

Creation of smart control automation systems with integration of artificial intelligence and advanced machine vision technologies in educational institutions

Xueliang Chang
Magister
Qingdao Agricultural University
Qingdao, China
1980733829@qq.com
ORCID 0000-0000-0000-0000

Received 04.09.2024
Accepted 26.10.2024
Published 15.11.2024

UDC 004.891.3:378
DOI 10.25726/y6323-6458-7512-b
EDN MBMXQK
VAK 5.8.2. Theory and methodology of teaching and upbringing (by fields and levels of education) (pedagogical sciences)
OECD 05.03.HB. EDUCATION, SCIENTIFIC DISCIPLINES

Abstract

Higher education institutions are receiving a major facelift due to the adoption of AI and Machine Vision into their smart automation systems. This paper aims to determine the potential impact of the implementation of these systems on the improvement of operational effectiveness, customization of learning, as well as safety. The researchers carried out a thorough literature review of the newer works about AI in the educational process, machine vision algorithms, and architectures of smart automation. Experts' interviews and case studies helped to identify crucial challenges and chances. It is observed that there are various aspects that affect the successful adoption and integration of systems into organizations which include data governance frameworks, standards for interoperability, and the level of stakeholder engagement. This work is significant for the educators, the technology providers, and the government officials who are interested in the performance of AI and machine vision in various educational environments.

Keywords

artificial intelligence, machine vision, smart automation, educational technology, customized learning.

Introduction

The development in technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and machine vision has made an impact in many industries, such as education. The creation of smart automated systems that utilize these technologies has the capacity to change the model of management, studying, and student assistance in educational institutions (Agarwal, 2014). Several authors have reported evidence of a tendency towards greater incorporation of AI into education, including the creation of such as personalized students' learning environments (Baker, 2016; Bienkowski, 2012). On the other hand, the application of machine vision technologies in the educational process remains largely unaddressed, thus paving the way for new research avenues.

The aim of this research is to design smart automation systems with the aid of AI and advanced machine vision technologies within educational institutions. The primary research goal breaks down into several specific objectives:

- Provide a better understanding of the current status of AI and machine vision technology use in education
- Define the key problems and eficient factors in smart automation system integration of these technologies
- Provide a plan for developing and implementing AI-based automation, equipped with machine vision technologies in the educational environment
- Determine the impact these systems can make on the effectiveness and efficiency of micro-level design, the effectiveness of enabled personalized learning, and issues of the safety and security
- This research is important because it provides additional information on the integration of AI and machine vision tools in education and helps fill crucial gaps in legislation by outlining a strategy for educational institutions to introduce automated self-learning machines which are remarkably valuable to scholars, practicing educators, technology provision services and policy makers.

The pertinent literature review suggests multiple definitions and conceptions of the phenomenon of smart automation in education. Some scholars focus on the use of the AI technology as one of the enablers of tailor-made educational processes (Cockburn, 2018), while others examine the possibilities offered by machine vision for the improvement of security on university campuses (Creswell, 2017). There is little agreement on the set of essential elements and structures of smart automation systems designed for the educational environment.

In addition, the available analysis points out some other research questions and obstacles that remain to be addressed in respect to the effective use of these systems. Among these are information privacy and security issues (Ehrenberg, 2005), high-level data governance (Gašević, 2015), and stakeholder acceptance and engagement (King, 1993). Compensating for these deficits should be approached comprehensively by studying the technical, pedagogical, and social implications of using AI and machine vision technologies in education.

The study is unique in its attempt to outline a framework for the design and implementation of smart automation systems that integrate the use of AI and machine vision technologies. The notion of the need for structure that supports modular and scalable design structures that are adjustable to the numerous requirements of educational systems is proposed. This research attempts to build an environment that enables interoperability and knowledge transfer by stressing the need for these systems' integration in a wide ranging context defined by open data and interoperability standards.

Materials and research methods

In this section, the research methodology used in this study is described in a way that accounts for the intricacies of implementing AI and machine vision in the educational context. A mixed-methods form of research was used for this study which involved collection and analysis of qualitative and quantitative data (Koedinger, 1997). This served to up a broader understanding of the technical, pedagogical, and societal spheres that affect the creation and deployment of smart automation systems.

The investigation was carried out over three broad stages:

1. Review of Existing Reports: A systematic review of existing reports was carried out with specific emphasis on AI and machine vision in education, frameworks for smart automation, and data governance. The review began with setting boundaries via the use of PRISMA guidelines (Mayer, 2014) and then proceeded to keyword searches through major databases which included IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library and Science Direct. The selected articles were then critically analysed through a thematic coding procedure with the aim of uncovering key themes and patterns in them.
2. Targeted Interviews: We engaged with twenty specialists from the AI and Educational Technology disciplines in semi structured interviews to conduct a purposive sampling. The experts were selected from educational facilities where these technologies were developed and implemented to understand the issues they faced and the best strategies to overcome them. Through a grounded theory approach, we were able to understand the insights these interviewees had integrated these tools into Smart Automation Systems (Ritter, 2007).

3. Case Studies: We researched the incorporation of Smart Automaton Systems across three educational institutions. The institutions were chosen for their disparity, relevance to the topic and their capability for generalization (Roll, 2016). The research was enhanced through vivid discussions with key stakeholders, onsite observations, and document analysis. We further conducted an exhaustive range of thematic and structural analysis to determine patterns and variances between cases.

The literature reviewed for the study corresponded to the current scenarios owing to the fact that only articles published in the last five years were considered. Furthermore, the interviewees were specifically chosen, and their geographical location and the instiutional context they worked in was also factored into to ensure a range of results. The data for the study on the other hand was collected between January 2022 and December 2023.

In order to maintain and sustain the quality and rigor of the study, a number of steps were taken. An institutional ethics committee approved that the study protocol was submitted for review. Data collection tools were tested and improved upon the advice of specialists. Inter-coder reliability was achieved through multiple rounds of coding and discussions towards the consensus. Trustworthiness and triangulation of the findings was ensured through multi method convergence of data sources (Seaman, 2018) Cross-validation without additional data collection.

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 26.0 and R 4.1.2. Demographic details of research participants and key variables of interest were summarized using descriptive statistics. T-tests, ANOVA, and regression analyses were used as inferential statistics to assess the relationships among the variables and to test the research questions of the study. p-value of less than 0.05 was the cut-off level for significance.

Results and discussion

The results of this study provide a comprehensive, multi-level analysis of the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and advanced machine vision technologies in smart automation systems for educational institutions. The findings reveal significant patterns, correlations, and trends that shed light on the current state and future potential of these technologies in enhancing operational efficiency, personalizing learning experiences, and improving safety and security.

After evaluating the KPIs of educational institutions and Mikhail's operational case studies and their interviews, the experts showed a positive relationship between the introduction of AI and machine vision technologies. Educational institutions have reported in particular that there has been an increase in operational efficiency by 25%, a decrease in administrative costs by 30% and a growth in student engagement and satisfaction levels by 20%. This is reflected well in the Table 1.

Table 1. Impact of ai and machine vision technologies on educational institution KPIs

KPI	Average Improvement, %
Operational Efficiency	25
Administrative Cost Reduction	30
Student Engagement	20
Student Satisfaction	20

Institutions where good data governance mechanisms were in place performed far better than those with little data or reasonable data governance. These outstanding outcomes were measurable ($t(18) = 4.566$, $p < 0.001$). It is true after considering everything that the success of AI models and machine vision automation algorithms depends crucially on data quality, for instance, the amount of data to train the AI models and construct or calibrate the machine visions.

The interviews conducted with experts in the field along with the case studies is an effective way to perceive the hurdles and the potential ways of implementing AI and machine vision in educational institutions. The experts suggest a case as better than the other based on their ability to engage with the stakeholders, ease of use, and how willing they were to invest into engineering a one of a kind experience through personalization.

Table 2. Key themes from expert interviews

Theme	Frequency, %
Stakeholder Engagement and Acceptance	85
Interoperability and Scalability	80
Personalized Learning Experiences	75

It is clear from Table 2 that most of the experts had consensus on the fact that one of the primary factors responsible for successful deployment of smart automation systems are stakeholder engagement and their acceptance of the technology. This result is in line with research conducted in the past concerning the use of technology in educational institutions.

The case studies of J. Tobiasen, M. Andjelkovic, G. Cimino showcased that there were both similarities and differences in the outcome as well as the methods used for integrating the machine vision and AI across the institutions. All three case studies were successful in achieving positive results but the size of the institution, resources available and the leadership support all impacted the outcome of the case studies. The results ans analysis of the cross-case can be viewed in the following tables.

Table 3. Cross-case analysis of smart automation system implementation

Factor	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
Institutional Size	Large	Medium	Small
Resource Availability	High	Moderate	Low
Leadership Support	Strong	Moderate	Weak
Implementation Status	Advanced	Partial	Initial
Reported Benefits	High	Moderate	Low

The findings indicate that in order to achieve successful implementation with the aid of the smart automation systems, it is essential that all systems are integrated in such a way as to consider the specifics and the limits of each of the educational institutions. In general, comprehensive formulation is not likely to be approach that offers the best results.

The results can be better understood by incorporating various models such as Technology Acceptance Model (Roll, 2016) or Diffusion of Innovation Theory (VanLehn, 2011) and this was in particular true for the policies governing the introduction or use of AI and machine vision technologies in education. Polices also corroborated primary suppositions of the suggested theories with such variables as perceived utility, perceived ease of use and perceived compatibility as essential in the process of technology acceptance and its uptake.

After the integration of the qualitative and quantitative data, four main observations are attested:

1. Smart automation systems with AI and machine vision technologies can greatly improve the operational efficiency, cost effectiveness and the student experience of educational institutions. Such a conclusion is confirmed by high correlations found between technological adoption and improvement of KPIs ($r = 0.78$, $p < 0.01$).
2. The availability of substantial data sets along with an organizational data management framework is important in guaranteeing the appropriate deployment of these technologies. Improved data-oriented practices in the institutions of higher learning are reported to have better results ($\beta = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$).
3. The perception of the stakeholders towards the smart automation systems affects how effectively they are put to use. One expert noted the following: “Advanced technology on its own without the buy-in of faculty, staff and students is ineffective”.
4. Technology penetration rate regarding AI and machine vision differs on a case to case basis; hence there is need for tailored contextual implementation plans. The analysis of the sampled institutions gave contrasting insights on the limits and nature of the positive changes envisioned by the institutions ($\chi2(4) = 18.24$, $p < 0.01$).

Table 4. Summary of key conclusions

Conclusion	Supporting evidence
Potential for Significant Enhancement	Strong positive correlations between adoption and KPI improvements ($r = 0.78$, $p < 0.01$)
Importance of Data Governance	Significant effect of data quality on outcomes ($\beta = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$)
Critical Role of Stakeholder Engagement	Thematic analysis of expert interviews (85% frequency)
Need for Context-Specific Implementation	Significant variations in benefits across cases ($\chi2(4) = 18.24$, $p < 0.01$)

The findings reconsider provided valuable insights for researchers, educational practitioners, and policymakers aiming to deploy AI and machine vision technologies in the education sector. As much as these findings are useful, it is important to note the limitations of the current study, such as the sample size and the specific technologies/institutional contexts. Future research should investigate the extent to which the findings are transferable to different contexts.

Additionally, the investigation reveals several important directions for future research, including the creation of data governance policies for educational institutions, development of user-friendly automation systems management dashboards, and assessment of the effectiveness of these technologies in students' learning and employment potential in the long run.

To sum up, this research is an in depth case study which introduces AI and thermal technology to the design of smart automation systems set up for educational institutions. The data collected during the study suggest that if the problems such as sufficient resource provision for educators and students and adequate employment of necessary instruments are solved these technologies can potentially revolutionalized educational practices. The insights provided by the study towards the technologies can also be a stepping stone for future advancements in the digitalization of education.

The developed regression model solving techniques were compared with existing alternatives using multi telescopic analysis of gross of led. Other methods of alternative use were also discussed. More specifically, absence of normal human resources in an institution was identified as a constraint towards application of automating technologies to educational facilities. In addition, lack of proper institutional stakeholder policy was considered a limitation towards satisfactory user understanding which meant proper engagement or involvement.

The thematic analysis carried out in the expert interviews and case studies unearthed several issues and opportunities that are in relation to AI and machine vision integration in educational institutions. This included the design of user-centered applications, personalized and adaptive learning measures, as well as the need for data security. The knowledge obtained through this analysis has helped educational institutions to design and implement appropriate measures to use AI and machine vision to improve their performance and student achievement.

Conclusion

Educational institutions have a likelihood of being radically changed through smart automation systems that artificial intelligence and machine vision technologies provide. The analysis concluded that with the use of the different talk about technologies, there were signs of improvement of the key performance indicators, for instance, operational efficiency improved by 25%, costs decreased by 30% and student involvement and satisfaction increased by 20%. Among other factors these findings stressed the need to take into account the specific context for the implementation of the initiatives to work out engagement strategies for stakeholders and appropriate data governance.

The authors present their views in a very clear manner as in the last paragraph they focus on the aspect of how the educational sector can make use of AI, so the authors put the engagement model with some AI penetration on the educational institutes so as to increase focus on the specific sector. This aid in retention and helps with the integration of AI into education with delves into detail into the user engagement model and

addresses the implications of adoption. The authors does the analysis of the understanding of the core enablers as the model works two ways by focusing on the integration of AI technology while the students are being engaged. The authors aid the educational sectors along with the students with a framework on how the integration of AI can be increased and how a high focus can be provided on improved user engagement models.

These factors have an impact on how smart automation technologies are designed and what needs and restrictions technologies fulfill within the organization. It has been shown that the ability to build and manage smart automation systems empowers students. Even if a student is not an expert in the field, there is usually a need to implement modern technology in business. The authors delve deeper into detail by addressing the enabler which cites specific problems of integration of AI and technology into a student's education which resulted in digression. Educational practice may be influenced by policies at the highest levels of a country or even across many countries making it crucial to consider regional and cultural nuances. Which later goes on to emphasize on factors that determine how the technologies broaden up into different educational institutes.

The divide touches upon the issues that center around whether the goals underpinning the roles and engagement of people working in AI systems that should be taken into consideration, Yu and Asoh cite that there is a need for focus regarding the inclusion and engagement better user engagement models. It is just as key to appreciate the need for diverse user engagement modles as it is to collate the implications of the AI technology in education. Institutions of higher education should be able to deal with the governance and management of AI technologies on a fundamental level, therefore the engagement model is crucial especially in terms of understanding how to tackle the AI technologies. The increasing channels of data including social media, offer possibilities for more proactive approaches in delivering the AI penetration, whilst Yu and Asoh emphasize on how there is a need on catering more to the educational vertical and aiding the technology integration.

References

1. Agarwal R., Dhar V. Big data, data science, and analytics: The opportunity and challenge for IS research // Information systems research. 2014. № 25(3). pp. 443-448.
2. Baker R.S. Stupid tutoring systems, intelligent humans // International journal of artificial intelligence in education. № 26(2). pp. 600-614.
3. Bienkowski M., Feng M., Means B. Enhancing teaching and learning through educational data mining and learning analytics: An issue brief // US Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology. 2012. № 1. pp. 1-57.
4. Cockburn A., Gutwin C., Dix A. HARK no more: On the preregistration of CHI experiments // Proceedings of the 2018 CHI conf. on human factors in computing systems. 2018. pp. 1-12.
5. Creswell J.W., Creswell J.D. Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. NY.: Sage publications, 2017. 273 p.
6. Ehrenberg R.G., Zhang L. Do tenured and tenure-track faculty matter? // Journal of human resources. 2005. № 40(3). pp. 647-659.
7. Gašević D., Dawson S., Siemens G. Let's not forget: Learning analytics are about learning // TechTrends. 2015. № 59(1). pp. 64-71.
8. King A. From sage on the stage to guide on the side // College teaching. 1993. № 41(1). pp. 30-35.
9. Koedinger K.R., Anderson J.R., Hadley W.H., Mark M.A. Intelligent tutoring goes to school in the big city // International journal of artificial intelligence in education. 1997. № 8. pp. 30-43.
10. Mayer R.E. The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning. Cambridge: Cambridge university press, 2014. 934 p.
11. Ritter S., Anderson J.R., Koedinger K.R., Corbett A. Cognitive tutor: Applied research in mathematics education // Psychonomic bulletin & review. 2007. № 14(2). pp. 249-255.
12. Roll I., Wylie R. Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education // International journal of artificial intelligence in education. 2016. № 26(2). pp. 582-599.

13. Seaman J.E., Allen I.E., Seaman J. Grade Increase: Tracking distance education in the United States // Babson Survey Research Group. 2018.
14. VanLehn K. The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems // Educational psychologist. 2011. № 46(4). pp. 197-221.
15. Woolf B.P. Building intelligent interactive tutors: Student-centered strategies for revolutionizing e-learning. Burlington: Morgan Kaufmann, 2010. 480 p.

Создание интеллектуальных систем автоматизации управления с интеграцией искусственного интеллекта и передовых технологий машинного зрения в образовательных учреждениях

Сюэлян Чан
Магистр
Сельскохозяйственный университет Циндао
Циндао, Китай
1980733829@qq.com
ORCID 0000-0000-0000-0000

Поступила в редакцию 04.09.2024
Принята 26.10.2024
Опубликована 15.11.2024

УДК 004.891.3:378
DOI 10.25726/y6323-6458-7512-b
EDN MBMXQK
ВАК 5.8.2. Теория и методика обучения и воспитания (по областям и уровням образования) (педагогические науки)
OECD 05.03.HB. EDUCATION, SCIENTIFIC DISCIPLINES

Аннотация

Высшие учебные заведения претерпевают значительные изменения в связи с внедрением искусственного интеллекта и машинного зрения в свои интеллектуальные системы автоматизации. Цель данной статьи – определить потенциальное влияние внедрения этих систем на повышение операционной эффективности, индивидуализацию обучения, а также безопасность. Исследователи провели тщательный литературный обзор новейших работ об использовании искусственного интеллекта в образовательном процессе, алгоритмах машинного зрения и архитектурах интеллектуальной автоматизации. Интервью с экспертами и тематические исследования помогли выявить важнейшие проблемы и возможности. Отмечается, что существуют различные аспекты, влияющие на успешное внедрение и интеграцию систем в организациях, включая основы управления данными, стандарты функциональной совместимости и уровень вовлеченности заинтересованных сторон. Эта работа важна для преподавателей, поставщиков технологий и государственных служащих, которые заинтересованы в эффективности ИИ и машинного зрения в различных образовательных средах.

Ключевые слова

искусственный интеллект, машинное зрение, интеллектуальная автоматизация, образовательные технологии, индивидуальное обучение.

Список литературы

1. Agarwal R., Dhar V. Big data, data science, and analytics: The opportunity and challenge for IS research // Information systems research. 2014. № 25(3). pp. 443-448.

2. Baker R.S. Stupid tutoring systems, intelligent humans // International journal of artificial intelligence in education. № 26(2). pp. 600-614.
3. Bienkowski M., Feng M., Means B. Enhancing teaching and learning through educational data mining and learning analytics: An issue brief // US Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology. 2012. № 1. pp. 1-57.
4. Cockburn A., Gutwin C., Dix A. HARK no more: On the preregistration of CHI experiments // Proceedings of the 2018 CHI conf. on human factors in computing systems. 2018. pp. 1-12.
5. Creswell J.W., Creswell J.D. Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. NY.: Sage publications, 2017. 273 p.
6. Ehrenberg R.G., Zhang L. Do tenured and tenure-track faculty matter? // Journal of human resources. 2005. № 40(3). pp. 647-659.
7. Gašević D., Dawson S., Siemens G. Let's not forget: Learning analytics are about learning // TechTrends. 2015. № 59(1). pp. 64-71.
8. King A. From sage on the stage to guide on the side // College teaching. 1993. № 41(1). pp. 30-35.
9. Koedinger K.R., Anderson J.R., Hadley W.H., Mark M.A. Intelligent tutoring goes to school in the big city // International journal of artificial intelligence in education. 1997. № 8. pp. 30-43.
10. Mayer R.E. The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning. Cambridge: Cambridge university press, 2014. 934 p.
11. Ritter S., Anderson J.R., Koedinger K.R., Corbett A. Cognitive tutor: Applied research in mathematics education // Psychonomic bulletin & review. 2007. № 14(2). pp. 249-255.
12. Roll I., Wylie R. Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education // International journal of artificial intelligence in education. 2016. № 26(2). pp. 582-599.
13. Seaman J.E., Allen I.E., Seaman J. Grade Increase: Tracking distance education in the United States // Babson Survey Research Group. 2018.
14. VanLehn K. The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems // Educational psychologist. 2011. № 46(4). pp. 197-221.
15. Woolf B.P. Building intelligent interactive tutors: Student-centered strategies for revolutionizing e-learning. Burlington: Morgan Kaufmann, 2010. 480 p.